

# The transverse-traceless gauge

Edoardo Milotti

October 27, 2022

In empty space the wave equation with the Lorenz condition

$$\square^2 \bar{h}_{\mu\nu} = -\frac{16\pi G}{c^4} T_{\mu\nu} \quad (1)$$

$$\partial_\nu \bar{h}^{\mu\nu} = 0 \quad (2)$$

reduces to

$$\square^2 \bar{h}_{\mu\nu} = 0 \quad (3)$$

$$\partial_\nu \bar{h}^{\mu\nu} = 0 \quad (4)$$

We have also found that a gauge transformation leads to a coordinate system that satisfies the Lorenz condition if

$$\square^2 \xi_\mu = \partial^\nu \bar{h}_{\mu\nu}, \quad (5)$$

and that there is an infinity of  $\xi_\mu$  that satisfy this equation. This means that we assume the Lorenz gauge and still have residual freedom to constrain further the coordinate system.

We can spell out the wave equation

$$\frac{\partial^2 \bar{h}_{\mu\nu}}{\partial t^2} - c^2 \nabla^2 \bar{h}_{\mu\nu} = 0 \quad (6)$$

and look for solutions

$$\bar{h}^{\mu\nu} = \text{Re}[A^{\mu\nu} \exp(ik_\alpha x^\alpha)] \quad (7)$$

These trial solutions must satisfy the following constraints:

- from the symmetry of the metric tensor:  $A^{\mu\nu} = A^{\nu\mu}$
- from the wave equation:  $k^\alpha k_\alpha = 0$ , i.e., the wave 4-vector is null; this condition implies the usual dispersion relation, i.e., waves move with speed  $c$
- from the Lorenz condition:  $k_\nu A^{\mu\nu} = 0$

Here, we consider a wave propagating in the  $x^3$  direction, so that the wave 4-vector is

$$k^\mu = (k, 0, 0, k); \quad k_\mu = (k, 0, 0, -k)$$

(the equality  $k^0 = k^3$  follows from the fact that this is a null vector), with  $k = \omega/c$ . With this choice of  $k^\mu$ , we find the following equality from the Lorenz condition

$$kA^{\mu 0} - kA^{\mu 3} = 0, \quad (8)$$

i.e.,

$$A^{\mu 0} = A^{\mu 3}, \quad (9)$$

and the  $A$  matrix writes

$$\begin{pmatrix} A^{00} & A^{01} & A^{02} & A^{00} \\ A^{01} & A^{11} & A^{12} & A^{01} \\ A^{02} & A^{12} & A^{22} & A^{02} \\ A^{00} & A^{01} & A^{02} & A^{00} \end{pmatrix}$$

We see that the  $A$  matrix depends on just 6 quantities,  $(A^{00}, A^{01}, A^{02}, A^{11}, A^{12}, A^{22})$ , in line with what we expect from the application of the Lorenz condition (the symmetric tensor has 10 independent components, but the Lorenz condition implies 4 equalities, and hence the number of independent components is reduced to 6).

*The Lorenz gauge determines a whole class of gauge transformations*, so we need to further specify the coordinate transformation. We do this by choosing

$$\xi^\mu = -\text{Re}[i\epsilon^\mu \exp(ik_\alpha x^\alpha)], \quad (10)$$

where  $k^\mu$  is the same as in eq. (7), so that *this is a wave-dependent gauge transformation*. This trivially satisfies the Lorenz condition, and moreover from

$$\bar{h}'_{\mu\nu} = \bar{h}_{\mu\nu} - \partial_\nu \xi_\mu - \partial_\mu \xi_\nu + \eta_{\mu\nu} \partial_\alpha \xi^\alpha \quad (11)$$

we find

$$A'^{\mu\nu} = A^{\mu\nu} - k^\nu \epsilon^\mu - \epsilon^\nu k^\mu + \eta^{\mu\nu} k_\alpha \epsilon^\alpha. \quad (12)$$

From eq. (12) and from the values of  $k^\mu$  and  $A^{\mu\nu}$  listed above, we find

$$A'^{00} = A^{00} - k^0 \epsilon^0 - \epsilon^0 k^0 + \eta^{00} k_\alpha \epsilon^\alpha = A^{00} - k(\epsilon^0 + \epsilon^3) \quad (13)$$

$$A'^{01} = A^{01} - k^1 \epsilon^0 - \epsilon^1 k^0 + \eta^{01} k_\alpha \epsilon^\alpha = A^{01} - k\epsilon^1 \quad (14)$$

$$A'^{02} = A^{02} - k^2 \epsilon^0 - \epsilon^2 k^0 + \eta^{02} k_\alpha \epsilon^\alpha = A^{02} - k\epsilon^2 \quad (15)$$

$$A'^{11} = A^{11} - k^1 \epsilon^1 - \epsilon^1 k^1 + \eta^{11} k_\alpha \epsilon^\alpha = A^{11} - k(\epsilon^0 - \epsilon^3) \quad (16)$$

$$A'^{12} = A^{12} - k^2 \epsilon^1 - \epsilon^2 k^1 + \eta^{12} k_\alpha \epsilon^\alpha = A^{12} \quad (17)$$

$$A'^{22} = A^{22} - k^2 \epsilon^2 - \epsilon^2 k^2 + \eta^{22} k_\alpha \epsilon^\alpha = A^{22} - k(\epsilon^0 - \epsilon^3) \quad (18)$$

In this way we have added four constraints (the values of  $\epsilon^\mu$ ) and we can use eqs. (13)-(18) to reduce the independent components of  $A$  to just two.  $A^{12}$  remains unchanged by the gauge transformation, and  $A^{21} = A^{12}$  by symmetry, and we can select just one more independent value. Setting

$$\epsilon^0 = (2A^{00} + A^{11} + A^{22})/4k \quad (19)$$

$$\epsilon^1 = A^{01}/k \quad (20)$$

$$\epsilon^2 = A^{02}/k \quad (21)$$

$$\epsilon^3 = (2A^{00} - A^{11} - A^{22})/4k \quad (22)$$

we find the following transformed  $A$  matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & A^{11} & A^{12} & 0 \\ 0 & A^{12} & -A^{11} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = A^{11} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + A^{12} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Defining two *linear polarization matrices*

$$\epsilon_+^{\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}; \quad \epsilon_\times^{\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

we can write the generic polarization state

$$A'^{\mu\nu} = A_+ \epsilon_+^{\mu\nu} + A_\times \epsilon_\times^{\mu\nu} \quad (23)$$

Both matrices are traceless and the 3 component vanishes (transverse propagation), so this choice of the gauge *the transverse-traceless gauge* (TT gauge). Since  $\bar{h} = 0$ , then  $h = 0$  and therefore there is no difference between perturbation variables and trace-reversed perturbation variables.

In linearized gravity and in the TT gauge,

$$\Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\alpha \approx \frac{1}{2} \eta^{\alpha\gamma} (\partial_\mu h_{\gamma\nu} + \partial_\nu h_{\gamma\mu} - \partial_\gamma h_{\mu\nu}) \quad (24)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \eta^{\alpha\gamma} (k_\mu h_{\gamma\nu} + k_\nu h_{\gamma\mu} - k_\gamma h_{\mu\nu}); \quad (25)$$

considering this expression, it is easy to see that

$$\Gamma_{00}^\mu = 0 \quad \Gamma_{0\nu}^\mu = \frac{1}{2} \partial_0 h_\nu^\mu.$$

Using these results, and considering a particle initially at rest, so that its initial 4-velocity is  $\dot{x}^\mu = (c, 0, 0, 0)$ , the geodesic equation

$$\frac{d^2 x^\alpha}{d\tau^2} + \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\alpha \dot{x}^\mu \dot{x}^\nu = 0, \quad (26)$$

becomes

$$\frac{d^2 x^\alpha}{d\tau^2} = -\Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\alpha \dot{x}^\mu \dot{x}^\nu = -\Gamma_{00}^\alpha c^2 = 0. \quad (27)$$

This means that the velocity remains constant and equal to its initial value (particle at rest). In other words, in the TT gauge, a cloud of particles at rest has geodesics with constant spatial coordinate: therefore, the small spacelike vectors  $\xi^\mu = (0, \xi^1, \xi^2, \xi^3)$  that mark the separations between nearby particles in the cloud remain constant.

However, the spatial separation  $d$  is *not* constant:

$$\begin{aligned} d^2 &= -g_{\mu\nu} \xi^\mu \xi^\nu = -(\eta_{ij} + h_{ij}) \xi^i \xi^j = (\delta_{ij} - h_{ij}) \xi^i \xi^j = \xi_i \xi^i - h_{ij} \xi^i \xi^j \\ &\approx \left( \xi_i - \frac{1}{2} h_{ik} \xi^k \right) \left( \xi^i - \frac{1}{2} h_k^i \xi^k \right) \quad (28) \end{aligned}$$

The new variables  $\xi^i - \frac{1}{2} h_k^i \xi^k$  mark the correct spatial separation. Note that in the TT gauge, there is no shift in the 3 direction (the propagation direction), again showing that the wave is transverse.

When we take one of these particles, originally in  $(\xi^1, \xi^2, 0)$  as position marker, we see that with a passing wave with amplitude  $A_+ \epsilon_+^{\mu\nu}$  its position is

$$x^1 = \xi^1 - \frac{A_+}{2} \cos \omega t \xi^1 \quad (29)$$

$$x^2 = \xi^2 + \frac{A_+}{2} \cos \omega t \xi^2 \quad (30)$$

$$x^3 = 0 \quad (31)$$

This means that in the  $\xi^3 = 0$  plane, a ring of  $N$  equally spaced reference masses at radius  $R$  and angular position  $\theta_n = 2\pi n/N$  has separation

$$r_n^2 = R^2 \left(1 - \frac{A_+}{2} \cos \omega t\right)^2 \cos^2 \theta_n + R^2 \left(1 + \frac{A_+}{2} \cos \omega t\right)^2 \sin^2 \theta_n \quad (32)$$

$$\approx R^2 \left[ (1 - A_+ \cos \omega t) \cos^2 \theta_n + (1 + A_+ \cos \omega t)^2 \sin^2 \theta_n \right] \quad (33)$$

$$= R^2 \left[ 1 - A_+ (\cos^2 \theta_n - \sin^2 \theta_n) \cos \omega t \right] \quad (34)$$

$$= R^2 (1 - A_+ \cos 2\theta_n \cos \omega t), \quad (35)$$

and finally

$$r_n \approx R \left(1 - \frac{A_+}{2} \cos 2\theta_n \cos \omega t\right). \quad (36)$$

We see that the perturbation variable represents a relative deformation of the distance between test masses; in the theory of elasticity this is called a *strain*.